



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/662,824	09/16/2003	Christian Frisch	37629-0079	2286
26633	7590	06/02/2006	EXAMINER	
HELLER EHRMAN WHITE & MCAULIFFE LLP 1717 RHODE ISLAND AVE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20036-3001			PANDE, SUCHIRA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

1637

DATE MAILED: 06/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/662,824	Applicant(s) FRISCH ET AL.	
	Examiner Suchira Pande	Art Unit 1637	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 34-38 and 40-43 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) 39 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 34-38 and 40-43 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/795,872.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/16/03</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____. |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 34-38 and 40-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Krebber et. al. (1997) J. Mol. Biol. 268:607-618 (cited in the IDS) as evidenced by Weiner and Chun (1997) J. of Comparative Neurology 381(2):130-142.

Regarding claim 34, Krebber et. al. teaches :

a. A nucleic acid molecule encoding a fusion protein comprising the first N-terminal domain of the gene III protein of filamentous phage and a polypeptide which is encoded by a nucleic acid sequence comprised in a genomic DNA fragment. See the adapter molecule shown in page 608, Fig. 1 c; and the fusions of gene III protein domains N1 and N1-N2 respectively fused to peptide SGCPHHHHHH (see page 610 Fig. 3d and Fig. 3d legend). The letters SGCPH represent the amino acids according to the standard single amino acid abbreviations used in the art. The figure shows the amino acid representation but the Figure 3 legend clearly describes how the nucleic acid constructs were made from starting from fd-phage fCKC construct. These nucleic acid constructs were used to express the gIIIpN1-SGCPHHHHHH and gIIIpN1-N2-SGCPHHHHHH fusions.

The SGCPH peptide is encoded by DNA, which is inherently a part of genomic DNA. A search done of file registry of STN database indicated the peptide SGCPH is a part of 153 different sequences or substances that are associated with a specific registry number. Of these 153 hits associated with a unique registry number, 5 were already known in the art by 1998 or earlier. For example, as evidenced by Weiner and Chun (1997), this peptide is a part of sequences submitted to GenBank and is encoded in a mouse genome (Zinc finger protein Png-1 from mouse strain BALB/c gene png-1). GenBank nucleotide sequence U86338 from mouse was translated to provide GenBank AAC53157 protein sequence. The peptide hits at 530-534 of the above AAC53157 sequence. The poly (HHHHH) histidine tails are well known tags used in the art. These histidine tags are fused to the protein to facilitate the subsequent purification of the fusion protein in combination with immobilized metal ion affinity chromatography (IMAC). An antibody can also detect histidine tag.

Krebber et. al. also teach fusion of gene coding for enzyme β lactamase designated bla gene to N-terminal domain of the gene III (see page 610 fig. 3 c construct labeled N1-Bla-CT). gIIIp-N1- β lactamase gene fusion is not the preferred embodiment of the applicant's claim. Nonetheless, the construct illustrates that it is possible to create a fusion protein comprising the first N-terminal domain of the gene III protein of filamentous phage and a polypeptide encoded by a nucleic acid sequence comprised in a genomic DNA. Instead of β lactamase gene any other gene or EST of interest may be fused to gIIIpN1-domain.

b. wherein said nucleic acid molecule does not comprise a nucleic acid sequence encoding a signal sequence for the transport of the fusion protein to the periplasm of a bacterial host cell. See fig. 3d and legend for fig. 3d where Krebber et. al. state the glllp domains N1 and N1-N2 were independently expressed without signal sequence and purified. Also see page 611 par. 1.

Regarding claim 35, Krebber et. al. teaches a vector comprising a nucleic acid molecule according to claim 34 (See above and page 616 par. 4).

Regarding claim 36, Krebber et. al. teaches an expression vector (See page 616 par. 4 where cloning of fragments into vector pTFT74 under control of T7 promoter is described).

Regarding claim 37, Krebber et. al. teaches bacterial host cell. These constructed vectors are transformed into *E.coli* host cells to make more copies of the vector (amplify the vector) itself (see page 615, par. 6) and for expression purposes the vector is transformed into a suitable host that allows high-level expression of the fusion protein (see page 616 par 4.) .

Regarding claim 38, Krebber et. al. teaches the host cell which is an *E.coli* cell (see page 615, par. 6 and page 616 par 4.).

Regarding claim 40, Krebber et. al. teaches a method for the expression of a polypeptide/protein comprising:

a) expressing a nucleic acid molecule encoding a fusion protein in a host cell under conditions that allow the formation of inclusion bodies comprising said fusion protein, wherein

aa) the first N-terminal domain of the geneIII protein of filamentous phage, and
ab) said (polypeptide/protein. See page 616 par. 4 "The N1, N2 and N1-N2 genes (without signal sequence) were expressed in BL21 (DE3), where N1 required the presence of pLysS, and obtained as cytoplasmic inclusion bodies".

Regarding claim 41, Krebber et. al. teaches the method according to claim 40 further comprising the steps of

b) isolating said inclusion bodies; and solubilising said fusion protein. Krebber et. al. teach expression of gIIIp domain N1 protein fusions that lack signal sequence in E.coli BL21(DE3) and state these fusion proteins under consideration were obtained as cytoplasmic inclusion bodies (see page 616 par. 6). Krebber et. al. go on to teach how purification was carried out and refolding of the purified fusion protein was accomplished from these inclusion bodies (see page 616, par. 6). It's inherent in the teaching that to purify the fusion protein they had to isolate the cytoplasmic inclusion bodies containing the fusion protein to purify the fusion protein. Refolding of purified protein inherently requires that the protein be in soluble form. So Krebber et. al. must have isolated the inclusion bodies by using some standard technique such as centrifugation known to one skilled in the art and solubilized the inclusion bodies before they could purify and refold the gIIIp fusions. The solubilization is accomplished by treatment with a denaturing agent. Krebber et. al. use 8M urea to solubilize the isolated inclusion bodies containing the fusion protein.

Regarding claim 42, Krebber et. al. teaches *E.coli* host cells comprising a vector according to claim 35 (see page 615 par. 6).

Regarding claim 43, Krebber et. al. teaches a host cell, *E. coli* BL21(DE3) comprising a vector according to claim 36 (see page 616 par. 4).

Thus claims 34-38 and 40-43 are anticipated by Krebber et. al.

Conclusion

All claims 34-38 and 40-43 are rejected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suchira Pande whose telephone number is 571-272-9052. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am -5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on 571-272-0782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TERESA STRZELECKA
PATENT EXAMINER

Teresa Strzelecka
6/1/06

Suchira Pande
Examiner
Art Unit 1637